arXiv:1407.7006v2 [math.AP] 26 Nov 2014

SOBOLEV STABILITY OF PLANE WAVE SOLUTIONS TO THE NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

BOBBY WILSON

Abstract. This article explores the questions of long time orbital stability in high order Sobolev norms of plane wave solutions to the NLSE in the defocusing case.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Functional Setting 3
3. Symmetry Reduction and Diagonalization 5
4. Normal Form 7
4.1. Formal Argument 7
4.2. Nonresonance Condition 9
4.3. Normal Form Theorem 10
4.4. Normal terms 10
4.5. Iterative Lemma 16
5. Dynamics 16
6. Conclusion 17
Appendix A. 18
References 19

1. Introduction

Consider the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi = \Delta\psi + \lambda|\psi|^{2p}\psi \tag{1}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and Δ is the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator. We wish to investigate the orbital stability of plane-wave solutions to (1). For $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $w_m(x,0) := \varrho e^{im\cdot x}$ be the initial datum concentrated at the mth mode. We will denote by $w_m(x,t)$ the plane-wave solution to equation (1) with initial datum $w_m(x,0)$. We will show that for any $K \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist s_0 and ε_0 so that any solution ψ to (1) with initial datum that is ε-close to $w_m(x,0)$ in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$, for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $s > s_0$, will meet the condition

$$\inf_{\varphi\in\mathbb{R}} \|e^{-i\varphi}e^{-im\cdot\bullet}w_m(\bullet,t) - e^{-im\cdot\bullet}\psi(\bullet,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \varepsilon C(K,s_0,\varepsilon_0)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K45, 37K55.

Key words and phrases. Stability of plane wave solutions to the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

This is in partial fulfillment of the author's requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy degree in Mathematics at the University of Chicago. The author was partially supported by the NSF through the RTG grant #1246999 at the University of Chicago during the completion of this work.

for $t < \varepsilon^{-K}$. Here $H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is the Sobolev space.

Much has been written about this topic as outlined in the paper by Faou, Gauckler and Lubich [12]. For instance, instability has been demonstrated in low regularity cases by Christ, Colliander, and Tao [8], [9] ($d = 1$, $s < 0$), Carles, Dumas and Sparber [7] ($s < 0$), and Hani [21] ($0 < s < 1$, $p = 1$). On the other hand, for $d = 1$ and $s = 1$, there are stability results that can be found in Gallay and Haragus [14], [15] and Zhidkov [23].

Results in the cubic case of our setting ($d \geq 1, s > 1$) include results on the growth of the Sobolev norm of solutions and instability near 0 by Bourgain [3], Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao [10] and Guardia and Kaloshin [20].

This paper, along with [12], uses the theory of Birkhoff normal forms in the same manner as Bambusi and Grébert [1, 2, 18] and Gauckler and Lubich [16] in which the theory was applied to a modified cubic NLS, which requires high regularity, $s \gg 1$. As opposed to proving an instability result, we show long time orbital stability of plane wave solutions to (1) in the defocusing case.

We will emulate the argument presented in [12] using the theory of Birkhoff normal forms presented in [2]. In [12], they prove

Theorem 1. *Let $\rho_0 > 0$ be such that $1 - 2\lambda\rho_0^2 > 0$, and let $N > 1$ be fixed arbitrarily. There exists $s_0 > 0$, $C \geq 1$ and a set of full measure $\mathcal{P}$ in the interval $(0, \rho_0]$ such that for every $s \geq s_0$ and every $\rho \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists ε_0 such that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ the following holds: if the initial data $u(\bullet, 0)$ are such that*

$$\|u(\bullet, 0)\|_{L^2} = \rho \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|e^{-im\cdot\bullet}u(\bullet, 0) - u_m(0)\|_{H^s} = \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

then the solution of (1) (with $p = 1$) with these initial data satisfies

$$\|e^{-im\cdot\bullet}u(\bullet, t) - u_m(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C\varepsilon \text{ for } t \leq \varepsilon^{-N}$$

We prove the same result for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, namely

Theorem 2. *Let $L_0 > 0$ be such that $1 - 2p\lambda L_0^p > 0$, and let $K > 1$ be fixed arbitrarily. There exists $s_0 > 0$, $C \geq 1$ and a set of full measure $\mathcal{P}$ in the interval $(0, L_0]$ such that for every $s \geq s_0$ and every $L \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists ε_0 such that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ the following holds: if the initial data $u(\bullet, 0)$ are such that*

$$\|u(\bullet, 0)\|_{L^2}^2 = L \qquad \text{and} \qquad \|e^{-im\cdot\bullet}u(\bullet, 0) - u_m(0)\|_{H^s} = \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$$

then the solution of (1) with these initial data satisfies

$$\|e^{-im\cdot\bullet}u(\bullet, t) - u_m(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C\varepsilon \text{ for } t \leq \varepsilon^{-K}$$

In essence, we show that the phenomena that allows for stability in the case $p = 1$ are present for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$. We will demonstrate a more transparent and generalized argument than what has been shown before. One aspect that is made apparent is that the techniques used in [12], for the case $p = 1$, can be applied to the case $p > 1$ while examining the vector field of the normalized Hamiltonian as we do in the final two sections of this paper. This examination reaffirms that the stability is derived entirely from the type of linear combinations of the frequencies that are degenerate and the algebraic properties of the nonlinearity.

We should not readily expect this type of extension from the $p = 1$ case. For the one-dimensional NLSE, the references mentioned above and [19] show us that the $p = 1$ case and the $p > 1$ case exhibit very different phenomena. An important

example is the fact that the one-dimensional NLSE with $p = 1$ is completely integrable. Thus, it is not obvious that the same results should follow directly from the arguments made in [12].

In fact, after employing the normal form change of variables, the lower degree terms that remain can either be grouped into terms that preserve, as they are called in [12], "super-actions":

$$\sum_{|n|^2=q} |z_n|^2$$

or are small enough to be grouped with the high degree terms which determine the extent to which the solution remains close to the orbit of w_m.

2. Functional Setting

We first establish a setting in which to prove Theorem 2. Similar definitions, as well as the proof of the lemmas in this section, appear in [2].

Definition 3. *For $x = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$, define the standard Sobolev norm as*

$$\|x\|_s := \sqrt{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |x_n|^2\langle n\rangle^{2s}}$$

Define H^s as

$$H^s := \{x = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \mid \|x\|_s < \infty\}$$

Consider a vector-valued homogeneous polynomial, X, of degree ℓ be written as

$$X(z) = \sum_{\|\alpha\|_{\ell_1}=\ell} X_\alpha z^\alpha$$

We will denote the majorant of X by

$$\tilde{X}(z) := \sum_{\|\alpha\|_{\ell_1}=\ell} |X_\alpha| z^\alpha$$

Definition 4 (Tame Modulus)**.** *Let X be a vector-valued homogeneous polynomial of degree ℓ. X is said to have s-tame modulus if there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|\tilde{X}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)})\right\|_s \le C\frac{1}{\ell}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \|z^{(1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}\cdots\|z^{(k-1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}\|z^{(k)}\|_s\|z^{(k+1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}\cdots\|z^{(\ell)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

for all $z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)} \in H^s$. The infimum over all C for which the inequality holds is called the tame s-norm and is denoted $|X|_s$.

Definition 4 is an extension of Definition 2.2 in [2] with $d = 1$. The inequality is related to the following property of Sobolev spaces: Consider two functions $u, v \in H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for $s > \frac{d}{2}$, then by Leibnitz rules and Sobolev embedding we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\cdot v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} &\le C_s\Big(\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}\|v\|_\infty + \|u\|_\infty\|v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}\Big)\\ &\le C_{s,t}\Big(\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}\|v\|_{H^t(\mathbb{T}^d)} + \|u\|_{H^t(\mathbb{T}^d)}\|v\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

for any $t > \frac{d}{2}$. On the Fourier side, the product $u \cdot v$ becomes a convolution of Fourier coefficients $\hat{u} * \hat{v}$. Therefore, we note that if X is the function on sequences,

$X(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)}) = \tilde{X}(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)}) = z^{(1)} * \dots * z^{(\ell)}$, by the same logic, there exists $C(s)$ such that

$$\|X(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)})\|_s \leq C(s,d) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \|z^{(1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}} \cdots \|z^{(k-1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}} \|z^{(k)}\|_s \|z^{(k+1)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}} \cdots \|z^{(\ell)}\|_{\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

which is usually called "tame property of the H^s norm" when $d = 1$ (see, for instance, [22]). We choose $\frac{d+1}{2}$ in replace of t for convenience and in order to be consistent with [2] when $d = 1$. Of course, when $X(z^{(1)},\dots,z^{(\ell)})$ is not a vector-valued homogeneous polynomial of degree ℓ, this property might not be satisfied. We now define two more norms on vector fields

Definition 5. *Let X be an vector-valued analytic function from $B_s(R)$ to H^s where $B_s(R) = \{x \in H^s \,|\, \|x\|_s \leq R\}$. We denote*

$$\|X\|_{s,R} := \sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R} \|X(z)\|_s$$

Definition 6. *Let X be a nonhomogeneous vector-valued polynomial and consider its Taylor expansion*

$$X = \sum X_\ell$$

where X_ℓ is homogeneous of degree ℓ and assume $|X_\ell|_s < \infty$ for all ℓ. For $R > 0$, we define

$$\langle X \rangle_{s,R} := \sum_{\ell \geq 1} |X_\ell|_s R^\ell$$

The following lemmas provide context and a theoretical foundation for which to articulate and understand the reasoning behind the boundedness of the change of variables in Theorem 13 and Lemma 18 and the bounds on the norms of the resulting vector fields. Let

$$B_s(R) := \{x \in H^s \,|\, \|x\|_s \leq R\}$$

Lemma 7. *Let H be a Hamiltonian and $X_H : B_s(R) \to H^s$ the associated Hamiltonian vector field. Fix $0 < r < R$, and assume that $\|X_H\|_{s,R} < \frac{r}{3}$, and consider the time t flow ϕ_t of X_H. Then, for $|t| \leq 1$,*

$$\sup_{\|z\|_s \leq R - \frac{r}{3}} \|\phi_t(z) - z\|_s \leq \|X_H\|_{s,R}$$

and for any analytic vector field Y one has

$$\|Y \circ \phi_t\|_{s,R-r} \leq 2\|Y\|_{s,R}$$

The next lemma is especially important for establishing the negligibility of the terms in our transformed vector that are not normalized. We will not eliminate all nonresonant terms of small degree. Rather, we will eliminate terms so that the remaining low degree terms can be made to be as small as we want with an application of the following lemma:

Lemma 8. *Fix N, and consider the decomposition $z = \bar{z} + \tilde{z}$. Where $\bar{z} := \{z_n\}_{|n| \leq N}$ and $\tilde{z} := \{z_n\}_{|n| \geq N}$. Let X be a vector-valued polynomial with finite tame s-norm and assume that X has a zero of order two in the variables $\tilde{z}$. then one has*

$$\|X\|_{s,R} \lesssim \frac{\langle X \rangle_{s,R}}{N^{s - \frac{d+1}{2}}}$$

For any two vector fields X and Y, let $[X, Y]$ be the standard Lie bracket and define that adjoint function

$$\mathrm{ad}_Y(X) := [Y, X].$$

Then we have the following two lemmas necessary for managing the effect of applying the ad function on the vector field infinitely many times in the definition of the normal form change of variables.

Lemma 9. *For any $r < R$, one has*

$$\langle [X, Y] \rangle_{s,R-r} \leq \frac{1}{r} \langle X \rangle_{s,R} \langle Y \rangle_{s,R}$$

Lemma 10. *For any $r < R$, one has*

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}_Y^n(X) \rangle_{s,R-r} \leq \frac{e^n}{r^n} \langle X \rangle_{s,R} \left(\langle Y \rangle_{s,R} \right)^n$$

3. Symmetry Reduction and Diagonalization

Let us consider equation (1) with the assumption $\lambda = -1$

$$i\partial_t \psi = \Delta \psi - |\psi|^{2p} \psi \tag{2}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and Δ is the standard Laplace-Beltrami operator.

By the gauge invariance of (2), it suffices to continue assuming $m = 0$. In Appendix A, we show it is sufficient to prove that

$$\|\psi(\cdot, t) - \psi_0(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)} < \varepsilon C(N, s_0, \varepsilon_0)$$

for $t < \varepsilon^{-N}$.

We denote $L := \|\psi(0)\|^2_{L^2}$ and we assume that the H^s norm of the initial datum is concentrated at the zero mode for some $s > 0$, i.e. $\|\psi(0) - \psi_0(0)\|_s = \varepsilon$. In order to eliminate the zero mode, we will construct a symplectic map on the Hamiltonian. Recall that the Hamiltonian corresponding to (2) is

$$H := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |k|^2 |u_k|^2 + \frac{1}{p+1} \sum_{\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} k_i = \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} h_i} u_{k_1} \dots u_{k_{p+1}} \bar{u}_{h_1} \dots \bar{u}_{h_{p+1}}. \tag{3}$$

Define the symplectic reduction of u_0:

$$\{u_k, \bar{u}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \to (L, \nu_0, \{v_k, \bar{v}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}}),$$
$$u_0 = e^{i\nu_0} \sqrt{L - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |v_k|^2}, \quad u_k = v_k e^{i\nu_0}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Inserting this change of variables into (3) we obtain

(4)

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|k|^2|v_k|^2+\frac{1}{p+1}\Big(L-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^{p+1}+\Big(L-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^p\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}(p+1)|v_k|^2+\frac{p}{2}(v_kv_{-k}+\bar v_k\bar v_{-k})\Big)$$
$$+\Big(L-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^{p-\frac12}\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq0}}\Big(\frac{p(p-1)}{6}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{-k_1-k_2}+c.c)+\frac{(p+1)p}{2}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}\bar v_{k_1+k_2}+c.c.)\Big)$$
$$+\Big(L-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^{p-1}\sum_{\substack{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq k_3+k_4}}\Big(\frac{p^2(p+1)}{4}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}\bar v_{k_3}\bar v_{k_4}+c.c)+\frac{(p+1)p(p-1)}{6}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{k_3}\bar v_{k_4}+c.c.)\Big)$$
$$+\Big(L-\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^{p-1}\Big(\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{12}\sum_{\substack{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq k_3+k_4}}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{k_3}v_{k_4}+c.c)\Big)+h.o.t.$$

Expanding we have

$$\frac{1}{p+1}L^{p+1}+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}(|k|^2+pL^p)|v_k|^2+L^p\Big(\frac{p}{2}(v_kv_{-k}+\bar v_k\bar v_{-k})\Big)$$
$$+L^{p-\frac12}\sum_{\substack{k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq0}}\Big(\frac{p(p-1)}{6}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{-k_1-k_2}+c.c)+\frac{(p+1)p}{2}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}\bar v_{k_1+k_2}+c.c.)\Big)$$
$$+\Big(-pL^{p-1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}(p+1)|v_k|^2+\frac{p}{2}(v_kv_{-k}+\bar v_k\bar v_{-k})\Big)+\Big(\frac{p}{2}L^{p-1}\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}|v_k|^2\Big)^2\Big)$$
$$+L^{p-1}\sum_{\substack{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq k_3+k_4}}\Big(\frac{p^2(p+1)}{4}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}\bar v_{k_3}\bar v_{k_4}+c.c)+\frac{(p+1)p(p-1)}{6}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{k_3}\bar v_{k_4}+c.c.)\Big)$$
$$+L^{p-1}\Big(\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{12}\sum_{\substack{k_i\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}\\k_1+k_2\neq k_3+k_4}}(v_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{k_3}v_{k_4}+c.c)\Big)+h.o.t.$$

We now diagonalize the quadratic part of the Hamiltonian:

$$H_0=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}}(k^2+L^pp)|v_k|^2+L^p\frac{p}{2}(v_kv_{-k}+\bar v_k\bar v_{-k}) \tag{5}$$

which amounts to diagonalizing the matrices:

$$J_k=k^2\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}+L^pp\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\-1&-1\end{pmatrix}$$

which group together $v_k,\bar v_{-k}$. We set

$$x_k=a_kv_k+b_k\bar v_{-k},\ k\neq0$$

and in these variables

$$H_0=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\frac{\Omega_k}{2}(|x_k|^2+|x_{-k}|^2) \tag{6}$$

with $\Omega_k=\sqrt{|k|^2(|k|^2+2pL^p)}$.

We note that $\Omega_n = \Omega_m$ whenever $|n| = |m|$. Therefore it might be convenient to group together the modes having the same frequency i.e. to denote

$$\omega_q := \sqrt{q^2(q^2 + 2pL^p)}, \qquad q \geq 1. \tag{7}$$

Before we continue, we note a crucial feature of our Hamiltonian and the vector field in the variables $x_k, \bar{x}_k$. Every monomial in $H(x, \bar{x})$,

$$x_{k_1} \cdots x_{k_p} \bar{x}_{n_1} \cdots \bar{x}_{n_q},$$

obeys the law of *Conservation of Momentum*. Namely

$$k_1 + \cdots + k_p = n_1 + \cdots + n_q \tag{8}$$

This property will be extremely important to the dynamics of the Hamiltonian.

4. Normal Form

We are now in the position to apply the theory of Birkhoff normal forms from Bambusi and Grébert [2]. We demonstrate, for completeness, the formal argument and introduce the nonresonance condition. After we demonstrate the normalization of our vector field, we can proceed to developing dynamical properties of the system.

Let us consider an auxiliary Hamiltonian $H(x)$, denote by X_H the corresponding vector field and by $\phi_H^t(x_0)$ the time t flow associated to H. We note that for any vector field Y, its transformed vector field under the time 1 flow generated by X_H is

$$e^{\mathrm{ad}_{X_H}} Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mathrm{ad}_{X_H}^k Y \tag{9}$$

where $\mathrm{ad}_X Y := [Y, X]$.

4.1. **Formal Argument.** Consider the equation

$$i\partial_t (y)_q = \omega_q (y)_q + \sum_{k \geq 2} \Big(f_k(y) \Big)_q \tag{10}$$

where for any sequence y indexed by $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $q \geq 1$

$$(y)_q := \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_{k_q}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $k_q := \#\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \,|\, |n| = q\}$. Suppose that each f_k is a vector-valued homogeneous polynomial of degree k. We note that if we group together the components x_n with $|n| = q$ and use the change of variables that takes (5) to (6), then we can rewrite the vector field for (4) in the form of (10).

Our aim is to use an iterative argument which puts (10) into "normal form" up to some predetermined degree. As usual, at each step we use a change of variables given by a time-1 flow map associated with a suitable Hamiltonian vector field. We proceed by demonstrating this process of normalizing the vector field in (10) at degree $K_0 \geq 2$.

Let H be a Hamiltonian of degree K_0 and consider the change of variables

$$y = \Phi_H(x)$$

where $\Phi_H(x)$ is the time-1 flow map associated with the Hamiltonian vector field X_H. Using the identity (9), one obtains

$$i\partial_t(y)_q = \omega_q(y)_q + \sum_{k=2}^{K_0-1} \Big(f_k(y)\Big)_q + ([X_H, \omega y](y))_q + (f_{K_0}(y))_q + h.o.t.$$

where ωy is the vector field with components

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\omega y)_n \\ \hline (\omega y)_{-n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_q & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega_q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ \bar{y}_{-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The idea is to choose H and another vector-valued homogeneous polynomial of degree K_0, R_{K_0}, in such a way that we can decompose f_{K_0} as follows

$$f_{K_0}(y) = R_{K_0}(y) - [X_H, \omega y](y). \tag{11}$$

We can find H so that R_{K_0} is in the kernel of the following function from the space of polynomial vector fields into itself

$$\mathrm{ad}_\omega(X) := [X, \omega y].$$

Any $Y \in \ker \mathrm{ad}_\omega$ is referred to as "normal" or "resonant". In order to correctly choose H and R_{K_0}, we will use the theory developed in [2]. First, let us characterize the normal terms with respect to the nonresonace condition of the frequencies $\{\Omega_n\}$. The monomials in f_{K_0} that are normal are those terms $y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^\infty$ with $\|\alpha\|_1 + \|\beta\|_1 = K_0$ with components $\delta_{j,m}$ ($\delta_{j,m}$ being the Kronecker symbol), such that

$$y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m} \in \ker \mathrm{ad}_\omega \,.$$

We note that

$$\mathrm{ad}_\omega(y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m}) = [(\alpha - \beta)\cdot\Omega - \Omega_m] y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m}$$

so that we can characterize $\ker \mathrm{ad}_\omega$ as

$$\ker \mathrm{ad}_\omega = \mathrm{span}\left\{ y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m} \,|\, (\alpha - \beta)\cdot\Omega - \Omega_m = 0 \right\}$$

where $(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\Omega = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \alpha_i\Omega_i - \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \beta_i\Omega_i$. Let X_H be a homogeneous vector-valued polynomial of degree K_0. We Taylor expand Y, X_H, and R_{K_0}

$$\begin{aligned} Y(y,\bar{y}) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,m} Y_{\alpha,\beta,m} y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta e_m \\ X_H(y,\bar{y}) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,m} X_{\alpha,\beta,m} y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta e_m \\ R_{K_0}(y,\bar{y}) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,m} R_{\alpha,\beta,m} y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta e_m \end{aligned}$$

The homological equation (11) becomes

$$R_{\alpha,\beta,m} - (\Omega\cdot(\alpha-\beta) - \Omega_m) X_{\alpha,\beta,m} = Y_{\alpha,\beta,m}$$

Now we define X_H and R_{K_0} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha,\beta,m} &:= Y_{\alpha,\beta,m} \\ X_{\alpha,\beta,m} &:= 0 \end{aligned} \quad \text{when } \Omega\cdot(\alpha-\beta)-\Omega_m = 0$$

$$X_{\alpha,\beta,m} := \frac{-Y_{\alpha,\beta,m}}{(\Omega\cdot(\alpha-\beta)-\Omega_m)} \text{ when } \Omega\cdot(\alpha-\beta)-\Omega_m \neq 0$$

We note that through this definition H will be a Hamiltonian and that this change of variables preserves conservation of momentum, (8).

If we define $\lambda_q := \sum_{|i|=q} \alpha_i - \beta_i$, then the expression $(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\Omega - \Omega_m$ becomes

$$\sum_{q\geq 1} \lambda_q \omega_q.$$

4.2. **Nonresonance Condition.** Now that we have a formal characterization of resonant polynomials, we can state a normal form theorem and determine dynamical properties of our system. Given an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ dependent on the highest degree at which we will perform a normal form reduction, we have the following condition applicable to our parameter L from the definition (7):

Definition 11 (Nonresonance Condition)**.** *There exists $\gamma = \gamma_M > 0$ and $\tau = \tau_M > 0$ such that for any N large enough, one has*

$$\left|\sum_{q\geq 1} \lambda_q\omega_q\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{N^\tau} \qquad \text{for } \|\lambda\|_1 \leq M,\ \sum_{q>N} |\lambda_q| \leq 2 \tag{12}$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^\infty \setminus \{0\}$.

The following generalization of the "non-resonance" result in [2] holds.

Theorem 12. *For any $P > 0$, there exists a set $J \subset (0, P)$ of full measure such that if $L^p \in J$ then for any $M > 0$ the Nonresonance Condition holds.*

Proof. The proof goes exactly as the one in Lemma 2.2 of [12] with L^p playing the role of ρ^2 and p the one of λ in their notations. □

If the Nonresonance condition is fulfilled, then we can conclude that for appropriate λ

$$\sum_{q\geq 1} \lambda_q\omega_q = 0$$

implies $\lambda_q = 0$ for all q and

$$\sum_{q\geq 1} \lambda_q\omega_q \neq 0$$

implies

$$\left|\sum_{q\geq 1} \lambda_q\omega_q\right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{N^\tau}.$$

4.3. **Normal Form Theorem.** Now we state the normal form theorem in [2] which we shall use in order to prove our main result.

Theorem 13. *Consider the equation*

$$i\dot{x} = \omega x + \sum_{k\geq 2} f_k(x). \tag{13}$$

and assume the nonresonance condition (12)*. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $s_0 = s_0(\ell, \tau)$ such that for any $s \geq s_0$ there exists $r_s > 0$ such that for $r < r_s$, there exists an analytic canonical change of variables*

$$y = \Phi^{(\ell)}(x)$$
$$\Phi^{(\ell)} : B_s(r) \to B_s(3r)$$

which puts (13) *into the normal form*

$$i\dot{y} = \omega y + \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}(y) + \mathcal{X}^{(\ell)}(y). \tag{14}$$

Moreover there exists a constant $C = C_s$ such that:

- $$\sup_{x\in B_s(r)} \|x - \Phi^{(\ell)}(x)\|_s \leq Cr^2$$
- *$\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}$ is at most of degree $\ell + 2$, is resonant, and has tame modulus*
- *the following bound holds*

$$\|\mathcal{X}^{(\ell)}\|_{s,r} \leq Cr^{\ell+\frac{3}{2}}$$

4.4. **Normal terms.** Let's further characterize the resonant terms by starting with the case $d = 1$.

Lemma 14 (One-dimensional Case)**.** *Fix $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider ad_ω as a function on homogeneous vector-valued polynomials of degree K. The degree K resonant terms of equation* (14) *are of the form*

$$P_m(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n\bar{y}_{-n}\})y_m\partial_{y_m} + Q_m(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n\bar{y}_{-n}\})y_{-m}\partial_{y_m}$$

where $P_m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{Q}_m = Q_{-m}$.

Proof. A degree K resonant monomial is of the form $y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m}$, where α, β and m satisfy

$$(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \Omega - \Omega_m = 0$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \Omega_{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \Omega_{k_i} - \Omega_m = 0$$

for some $M > 0$. The nonresonance condition on the eigenvalues Ω_n implies that $(\alpha - \beta) \cdot \Omega - \Omega_m = 0$ is (possibly up to reordering) equivalent to

$$\Omega_{n_i} = \Omega_{k_i}, \qquad \Omega_m = \Omega_{n_M}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow |n_i| = |k_i|, \qquad |m| = |n_M|.$$

On the other hand, the conservation of momentum provides the following relation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i - m = 0$$

In other words the system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned} |n_i| = |k_i|, \qquad |m| = |n_M| \\ \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i - m = 0 \end{aligned}$$

characterizes the resonant terms. We will break up the characterization into cases. The first case is when $m = n_M$ and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |n_i| = |k_i|, \qquad m = n_M \\ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

For n_i, k_i satisfying (15) above, there exists $S = S(k_1, \ldots, k_{M-1}) \geq 0$ such that we can write (15) as

$$\begin{aligned} n_{i_1} = -k_{i_1}, \ldots, n_{i_S} = -k_{i_S}, \\ n_{i_{S+1}} = k_{i_{S+1}}, \ldots, n_{i_{M-1}} = k_{i_{M-1}} \\ m = n_M \\ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From the equation $n_{i_1} = -k_{i_1}, \ldots, n_{i_S} = -k_{i_S}$ the resonant term contains a factor of the form

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}}$$

where we note that $\sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j} = 0$. From $n_{i_{S+1}} = k_{i_{S+1}}, \ldots, n_{i_{M-1}} = k_{i_{M-1}}$ we obtain

$$\prod_{S < j \leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2.$$

The full resonant term corresponding to (16) will be

$$y_m \prod_{S < j \leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1 \leq j \leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} \partial_{y_m}.$$

Therefore the resonant terms for the case $m = n_M$ will be the sum over all $\{n_i, k_i\}$ that satisfy (16), namely:

$$\left(\sum_{0 \leq S \leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1}, \ldots, n_{i_{M-1}} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j} = 0}} \prod_{S < j \leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1 \leq j \leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} \right) y_m \partial_{y_m} \tag{17}$$

For each $n_{i_1}, ..., n_{i_{M-1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S \in \{0, ..., M-1\}$, we observe that the condition $\sum_{1\leq j\leq S} n_{i_j} = 0$ implies that the terms

$$\prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} \text{ and } \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} \bar{y}_{n_{i_j}} y_{-n_{i_j}}$$

both appear in (17) and thus

$$\left(\sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=0}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} \right) \in \mathbb{R}$$

and we define

$$P_m(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n \bar{y}_{-n}\}) := \sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=0}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}}$$

We now consider the case $-m = n_M$. With this assumption we have

$$|n_i| = |k_i|, \qquad -m = n_M$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i = 2m$$

and by the same argument the resonant terms from this case will be

$$\left(\sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=m}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} \right) y_{-m} \partial_{y_m}$$

We now define

$$Q_m(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n \bar{y}_{-n}\}) := \sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=m}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_m &= \sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=m}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} \bar{y}_{n_{i_j}} y_{-n_{i_j}} \\ &= \sum_{0\leq S\leq M-1} \sum_{\substack{n_{i_1},...,n_{i_{M-1}}\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{S} n_{i_j}=-m}} \prod_{S<j\leq M-1} |y_{n_{i_j}}|^2 \prod_{1\leq j\leq S} y_{n_{i_j}} \bar{y}_{-n_{i_j}} = Q_{-m} \end{aligned}$$

□

The first step of analyzing the dynamical characteristics of the resonant terms is observing that the linear and resonant parts of the normalized Hamiltonian can be decoupled as a family of self-adjoint matrices.

Corollary 15. *The truncation of* (14)*,*

$$\dot{y} = \omega y + \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}(y)$$

can be decoupled in the following way:

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ y_{-n} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_n \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ y_{-n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{M}_n\left(\omega, \{|y_m|^2\}, \{y_m \bar{y}_{-m}\}\right)$ *is a self-adjoint matrix for all* t*.*

Proof. We Taylor expand $\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}$:

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)} = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i \mathcal{R}_i$$

where each c_i is a multiplicity constant and $\mathcal{R}_i$ is homogeneous and degree i. In fact, from Lemma 14

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(P_m^{(i)}(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n\bar{y}_{-n}\})y_m + Q_m^{(i)}(\{|y_n|^2\}, \{y_n\bar{y}_{-n}\})y_{-m}\right)\partial_{y_m}.$$

Now we define $\mathcal{M}_n$ with the following components

$$(\mathcal{M}_n)_{11} := \omega_{|n|} + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i P_m^{(i)} \qquad (\mathcal{M}_n)_{12} := \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i Q_m^{(i)}$$

$$(\mathcal{M}_n)_{21} := \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i Q_{-m}^{(i)} \qquad (\mathcal{M}_n)_{22} := \omega_{|n|} + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i P_{-m}^{(i)}$$

It follows immediately that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is self-adjoint. □

The one-dimensional case is included for instructive purposes. We can extend that arguments directly to the case $d > 1$. It will be even more evident that the form of the resonant terms depends entirely on two properties of the Hamiltonian that have been mentioned previously:

- The Hamiltonian obeys the Conservation of Momentum law and
- $\{\omega_q\}_{q<N}$ is a linearly independent set

Lemma 16 (Higher-dimensional Case)**.** *The resonant terms are of the form*

$$\ker \mathrm{ad}_\omega = span\left(\left\{Q_{m,i} y_i \partial_{y_m} \,\middle|\, i, m \in \mathbb{Z}^d\right\}\right)$$

where $\bar{Q}_{m,i} = Q_{i,m}$ *and* $Q_{i,m} = 0$ *when* $|i| \neq |m|$*. In particular,* $Q_{m,i}$ *depends on* y_m *only through terms of the form* $y_n\bar{y}_k$ *with* $|n| = |k|$*.*

Proof. Just as in the one-dimensional case, the general resonant monomial of degree k is of the form $y^\alpha \bar{y}^\beta \partial_{y_m}$, where α, β and m satisfy

$$(\alpha - \beta)\cdot\Omega - \Omega_m = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \Omega_{n_i} - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \Omega_{k_i} - \Omega_m = 0$$

for some M such that $2M-1=k$. The nonresonance condition on the eigenvalues ω_q implies that, possibly up to reordering, $(\alpha-\beta)\cdot\Omega-\Omega_m=0$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_{n_i}=\Omega_{k_i}, \qquad \Omega_m=\Omega_{n_M}\\ \Leftrightarrow\ &|n_i|=|k_i|, \qquad |m|=|n_M|\end{aligned}$$

Conservation of momentum provides the following relation:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i-\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i-m=0$$

The system of equations,

$$\begin{aligned}&|n_i|=|k_i|, \qquad |m|=|n_M|\\ &\sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i-\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i-m=0\end{aligned}$$

characterizes the resonant terms. We will again break up the characterization into cases. The first case is when $m=n_M$ and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&|n_i|=|k_i|, \qquad m=n_M\\ &\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} n_i-\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i=0\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and the resonant term corresponding to these equations will be of the form

$$y_m\prod_{i\in\{1,\dots,M-1\}} y_{n_i}\bar{y}_{k_i}\partial_{y_m}$$

At degree $2M-1$, the resonant terms for the case $m=n_M$ will be the sum over all $\{n_i,k_i\}$ that satisfy (19):

$$\left(\sum_{\sum n_i-\sum k_i=0}\ \prod_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} y_{n_i}\bar{y}_{k_i}\right)y_m\partial_{y_m} \tag{20}$$

We observe that the condition $\sum_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} n_i-k_i=0$ implies that for each $\{n_i,k_i\}$ the terms

$$\prod_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} y_{n_i}\bar{y}_{k_i} \text{ and } \prod_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} y_{k_i}\bar{y}_{n_i}$$

both appear in (20) and thus

$$\left(\sum_{\sum n_i-\sum k_i=0}\ \prod_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} y_{n_i}\bar{y}_{k_i}\right)\in\mathbb{R}$$

and we define

$$Q_{m,m}:=\left(\sum_{\sum n_i-\sum k_i=0}\ \prod_{i\in\{1,\dots M-1\}} y_{n_i}\bar{y}_{k_i}\right)$$

For the case $n_M \neq m$. With this assumption we have

$$|n_i| = |k_i|, \qquad m^* := n_M$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} n_i - \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} k_i = m - m^*$$

and by the same argument the resonant terms from this case will be

$$\left(\sum_{\sum n_i - \sum k_i = m - m^*} \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots M-1\}} y_{n_i} \bar{y}_{k_i} \right) y_{m^*} \partial_{y_m}$$

We now let

$$Q_{m,m*} := \sum_{\sum n_i - \sum k_i = m - m^*} \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots M-1\}} y_{n_i} \bar{y}_{k_i}$$

and note that

$$\bar{Q}_{m,m^*} = \sum_{\sum n_i - \sum k_i = m - m^*} \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots M-1\}} \bar{y}_{n_i} y_{k_i} = \sum_{\sum n_i - \sum k_i = m^* - m} \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots M-1\}} y_{n_i} \bar{y}_{k_i} = Q_{m^*,m}$$

□

The analogue to Corollary 15 is as follows:

Corollary 17. *The truncation of* (14),

$$i\dot{y} = \omega y + \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}(y)$$

can be decoupled in the following way:

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_k} \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{M}_q \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_k} \end{pmatrix} \tag{21}$$

where $q > 0$, $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \,:\, |n| = q\}$, $\mathcal{M}_q = \mathcal{M}_q\left(\omega, \{y_j\}\right)$ *is a self-adjoint matrix for all* t.

Proof. As in 15, we expand $\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}$,

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\ell)} = \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i \mathcal{R}_i$$

with

$$\mathcal{R}_i = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Big(\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ |j| = |m|}} Q^{(i)}_{m,j}(\{y_n\}) y_j \Big) \partial_{y_m}.$$

In conclusion, we define the components of $\mathcal{M}_q$:

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_q\right)_{mj} := \delta_{mj} \omega_q + \sum_{i=2}^{\ell+2} c_i Q^{(i)}_{m,j}.$$

□

4.5. **Iterative Lemma.** We now present the inductive lemma that is used to produce Theorem 13. First consider a general Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + P$. Expand P in Taylor series up to order $\ell_0 + 2$:

$$P = P^{(1)} + \mathscr{R}_*$$
$$P^{(1)} := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell_0} P_i$$

where P_i is homogeneous of degree $i + 2$ and $\mathscr{R}_*$ is the remainder of the Taylor expansion.

Lemma 18 (Iterative Lemma, [2] Proposition 4.20, Corollary 4.21)**.** *Consider the Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + P^{(1)} + \mathscr{R}_*$, and fix $s \geq \frac{d+1}{2}$. For any $\ell \leq \ell_0$, there exists a positive $R_0 \ll 1$, and for any $N > 1$, there exists an analytic canonical transformation*

$$\mathscr{T}^{(\ell)} : B_s\Big(\frac{R_0(2\ell_0 - \ell)}{2N^\tau \ell_0}\Big) \to H^s$$

which transforms H into

$$H^{(\ell)} := H \circ \mathscr{T}^{(\ell)} = H_0 + \mathscr{L}^{(\ell)} + f^{(\ell)} + \mathscr{R}_N^{(\ell)} + \mathscr{R}_T^{(\ell)} + \mathscr{R}_* \circ \mathscr{T}^{(\ell)}.$$

Let $L = \frac{2\ell_0 - \ell}{2\ell_0}$. For any $R < R_0 N^{-\tau}$, there exists a constant C such that the following properties are fulfilled:

(1) *the transformation $\mathscr{T}^{(\ell)}$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{z \in B_s(RL)} \|z - \mathscr{T}^{(\ell)}(z)\|_s \leq CN^\tau R^2;$$

(2) *$\mathscr{L}^{(\ell)}$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\ell + 2$ and has tame modulus; it is resonant and has a zero of order three at the origin; $f^{(r)}$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\ell_0 + 2$ and has zero of order $\ell + 3$ at the origin; moreover, the following estimates hold:*

$$\langle X_{\mathscr{L}^{(\ell)}} \rangle_{s,RL} \leq CR^2$$
$$\langle X_{f^{(\ell)}} \rangle_{s,RL} \leq CR^2 (RN^\tau)^\ell;$$

(3) *the remainder terms, $\mathscr{R}_N^{(\ell)}$ and $\mathscr{R}_T^{(\ell)}$, have tame modulus and satisfy*

$$\|X_{\mathscr{R}_T^{(\ell)}}\|_{s,RL} \leq C(RN^\tau)^{\ell_0 + 2},$$
$$\|X_{\mathscr{R}_N^{(\ell)}}\|_{s,RL} \leq CR^2 N^{\frac{d+1}{2} - s},$$
$$\|X_{\mathscr{R}_* \circ \mathscr{T}^{(\ell)}}\|_{s,RL} \leq C(RN^\tau)^{\ell_0 + 2}.$$

5. Dynamics

Finally, we can state the dynamical consequences of the normal form transformation given by Theorem 13 by characterizing the normal terms. The characterization allows us to show, as in [12], that these terms preserve the super actions:

Proposition 19. *Suppose $y \in H^s$ satisfies* (21)*, then*

$$\partial_t \|y\|_s^2 = \partial_t \sum_{q \geq 1} \left(\sum_{|n_i| = q} |y_{n_i}|^2 \right) \langle q \rangle^{2s} = 0$$

Proof. Fix q, let $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\} := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \,:\, |n| = q\}$ and define

$$v := \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by Corollary 15

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \sum_{|n_i|=q} |y_{n_i}|^2 &= \partial_t v \cdot \bar{v} = \dot{v} \cdot \bar{v} + v \cdot \dot{\bar{v}} \\ &= \left(-i\mathcal{M}_q v\right) \cdot \bar{v} + v \cdot \left(i\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q \bar{v}\right) \\ &= \left(-i\mathcal{M}_q v\right) \cdot \bar{v} + \left(i\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q^T v\right) \cdot \bar{v} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 19 shows that for any $y(t)$ satisfying the truncated equation

$$i\partial_t y = \omega y + \mathcal{R}^{(\ell)}(y)$$

there is no transference of mass between "shells",

$$v_q := \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

although there may be transference between y_n and y_m when $|n| = |m|$. The following theorem completes our analysis on the dynamics of our system and allows us to prove the quantitative aspect of the stability in the main theorem, Theorem 2.

Theorem 20. *Suppose $y \in B_s(r)$ satisfies* (14) *with r small enough. Then there exists a constant $C = C_s$*

$$\partial_t \|y\|_s \leq C r^{\ell + \frac{5}{2}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|y\|_s = \partial_t \langle y, y\rangle_s &\leq |\langle \mathcal{X}^{(\ell)}(y), y\rangle_s| + |\langle y, \mathcal{X}^{(\ell)}(y)\rangle_s| \\ &\leq C \|\mathcal{X}^{(\ell)}\|_{s,r} \|y\|_s \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 13. □

6. Conclusion

We conclude by assembling the proof of Theorem 2. Theorem 20, shows that given a solution y to equation (14) with $\|y(0)\|_s < 3r$, then for all $0 < t < r^{-(\ell + \frac{3}{2})}$, $\|y\|_s < C_s r$. Assuming r is small enough, Theorem 13 implies that we then have the same bound for any x that solves (13) with $\|x(0)\|_s < r$. Finally, the transformation that takes a solution of equation (5) to equation (6) is a change of coordinates on vectors of the form

$$v_q = \begin{pmatrix} y_{n_1} \\ \cdots \\ y_{n_{k_q}} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $k_q = \#\{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d \,|\, |n| = q\}$. The transformation then preserves $\|v_q\|_2$ and therefore preserves the H^s norm. Thus, the bound on $\|x\|_s$, for x satisfying (13), can be applied

to $\|v\|_s$, for v satisfying (4). Since we obtain v by a gauge change to $(u_n)_{n\neq 0}$ fulfilling (3), we have

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\psi_0(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}=\|u\|_s<Cr$$

for $t<r^{-(\ell+\frac{3}{2})}$. The condition $1-2p\lambda L_0^p>0$ which implies $1-2p\lambda L^p>0$ when $\lambda>0$, is necessary so that $\Omega_n\in\mathbb{R}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Appendix A.

The natural way in which to first study the behavior of $\|u-w_0\|_s$ would be to translate (2) by $w_0(x,t)=\varrho e^{i\varrho^{2p}t}$ as follows

$$i\partial_t(\psi-w_0)=\Delta(\psi-w_0)-|\psi-w_0|^{2p}(\psi-w_0) \tag{22}$$

With respect to Fourier coefficients $(\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$, the linear part of (22) can be decoupled as

$$i\partial_t\begin{pmatrix}\psi_n\\ \bar{\psi}_{-n}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-|n|^2-(p+1)\varrho^{2p} & -p\varrho^{2(p-1)}w_0^2\\ p\varrho^{2(p-1)}\bar{w}_0^2 & |n|^2+(p+1)\varrho^{2p}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_n\\ \bar{\psi}_{-n}\end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

Using Floquet's Theorem, we deduce that, since (23) has periodic coefficients, there exists a change of variables that transforms (23) into a system with constant coefficients. Indeed, if we let $v_n:=\psi_n e^{-i\rho^{2p}t}$, then (23) becomes

$$i\partial_t\begin{pmatrix}v_n\\ \bar{v}_{-n}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}-|n|^2-p\varrho^{2p} & -p\varrho^{2p}\\ p\varrho^{2p} & |n|^2+p\varrho^{2p}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}v_n\\ \bar{v}_{-n}\end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

Note that at $n=0$ the linear system produces a solution that grows linearly with time. However, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{\varphi\in\mathbb{R}}&\|e^{-i\varphi}w_0(\cdot,t)-\psi(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}\\ &\leq\Big||w_0(0)|-|\psi_0(0)|\Big|^2+\Big||\psi_0(0)|-|\psi_0(t)|\Big|^2+\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\psi_0(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

From here we see that

$$\Big||w_0(0)|-|\psi_0(0)|\Big|^2\leq\|w_0(\cdot,0)-\psi(\cdot,0)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

and by conservation of the L^2 norm

$$\begin{aligned}\Big||\psi_0(0)|-|\psi_0(t)|\Big|^2\leq\Big||\psi_0(0)|^2-|\psi_0(t)|^2\Big|&=\left|\sum_{n\neq0}|\psi_n(0)|^2-\sum_{n\neq0}|\psi_n(t)|^2\right|\\ &\leq\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\psi_0(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}+\|\psi(\cdot,0)-\psi_0(0)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}.\end{aligned}$$

We have shown that it is sufficient to prove that

$$\|\psi(\cdot,t)-\psi_0(t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^d)}<\varepsilon C(N,s_0,\varepsilon_0)$$

for $t<\varepsilon^{-N}$.

Acknowledgements. I am indebted to M. Procesi for her hospitality and time at the Universitá Degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", my Ph.D. advisor, Professor W. Schlag, for posing the problem and making my stay in Rome possible and L. Corsi for many useful discussions and comments.

References

[1] D. Bambusi, *A Birkhoff normal form theorem for some semilinear PDEs*, Hamiltonian Dynamical Systems and Applications, Springer, 2007, pp. 213–247

[2] D. Bambusi, B. Grébert, *Birkhoff normal form for partial differential equations with tame modulus*, Duke Math. J. **135** (2006), no. 3, 507–567.

[3] J. Bourgain, *On the growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of Hamiltonian PDE*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **6**, 277–304 (1996).

[4] J. Bourgain, *Quasi-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian perturbations of* 2*D linear Schrödinger equations*. Ann. of Math. (2) **148**, 363–439 (1998).

[5] J. Bourgain, *Problems in Hamiltonian PDE's*. Geom. Funct. Anal., Special Volume, Part I, 32–56 (2000).

[6] J. Bourgain, *On diffusion in high-dimensional Hamiltonian systems and PDE*. J. Anal. math. **80**, 1–35 (2000).

[7] R. Carles, E. Dumas, Ch. Sparber, *Multiphase weakly nonlinear geometric optics for Schrödinger equations.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **42**, 489–518 (2010)

[8] M. Christ, J. Colliander, T. Tao, *Ill-posedness for nonlinear Schrödinger and wave equations.* Annales de l'IHP, to appear. arXiv:math/0311048v1

[9] M. Christ, J. Colliander, T. Tao, *Instability of the periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Preprint, 2003. arXiv:math/0311227v1

[10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, *Transfer of energy to high frequencies in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Invent. Math. **181**, 39–113 (2010)

[11] L. H. Eliasson, S. B. Kuksin, *KAM for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation..* Ann. of math (2). **172**, 371–435 (2010)

[12] E. Faou, L. Gauckler, C. Lubich, *Sobolev stability of plane wave solutions to the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation on a torus*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **38** (2013), no. 7, 1123–1140.

[13] E. Faou, B. Grébert, *A Nekhoroshev type theorem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the d-dimensional torus*, Preprint. arXiv:1003.4845v1

[14] T. Gallay, M. Haragus, *Stability of small periodic waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* J. Diff. Equations **234**, 544–581 (2007)

[15] T. Gallay, M. Haragus, *Orbital stability of periodic waves for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* J. Dyn. Diff. Eqns. **19**, 824–865 (2007)

[16] L. Gauckler, C. Lubich, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and their spectral semi-discretizations over long times*, Found. Comput. Math. **10** 141–169 (2010).

[17] L. Gauckler, *long-time analysis of Hamiltonian partial differential equations and their discretization.*, Dissertation (doctoral thesis), Univ. Tübingen, 2010.

[18] B. Grébert, *Birkhoff normal form and Hamiltonian PDEs*, Sémin. Cong. **15** , 1–46 (2007).

[19] B. Grébert, T. Kappeler, 2014: *The Defocusing NLS Equation and Its Normal Form*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. Europ. Math. Soc. Cong., p.p. 166

[20] M. Guardia, V. Kaloshin, *Growth of Sobolev norms in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation.* Preprint, 2012, arXiv:1205.5188v1

[21] Z. Hani, *Global and dynamical aspects of nonlinear Schrödinger equations on compact manifolds.* PhD thesis, University of California Los Angeles, 2011.

[22] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Dunod, Paris, (1968).

[23] P. Zhidkov, *Korteweg-de Vries and nonlinear Schrödinger equations: qualitative theory.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1756, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.